

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/765,533	01/27/2004	Richard Lee Fink	12179-P103C1	1026	
759	90 04/07/2005		EXAMINER		
Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C.			HUGHES, JAMES P		
Kelly K. Kordzi P.O. Box 50784			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Dallas, TX 75				2883	
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/765,533	FINK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James P. Hughes	2883			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress		
THE   - Exter after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communicațion.		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 D</u>	<u> ecember 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 9-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 9-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 December 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2005.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). .jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage		
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>011005</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal f 6) Other:		O-152)		

Art Unit: 2883

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 13 and 18 are objected to as being unclear. The term "graphite diamond" are unclear in light of the specification. Diamond, Graphite, and an Amorphous structure are all distinct crystal structures (or lack there of) of Carbon. While it is possible that more than one crystal structure may exits in a given sample, the plane meanings of the terms are unclear. Appropriate action is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The original specification does not teach the cold cathode comprising amorphic diamond; following, as described above it is unclear what constitutes amorphic diamond.

Art Unit: 2883

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rangwalla et al. (6,426,507). Rangwalla et al. (6,426,507), herein after referred to as "Rangwalla", teaches a method of operating and corresponding electron beam source comprising a substantially flat cold cathode (112), in an evacuated envelope (114), which emits electrons after a potential difference of at least 110,000 Volts is applied between the cathode (112) and a window support structure (140) by circuitry (e.g., 200). The window support structure (140) supports an electron beam transparent window (142) in the evaluated vacuum envelope, which allows the electron beam to pass out of said envelope and irradiate an object (10).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10-13 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangwalla et al. (6,426,507) in view of Zettl et al. (6,057,637).

  Rangwalla teaches a method of operating and corresponding electron beam source as

Art Unit: 2883

discussed above, however Rangwalla does not explicitly teach that the cold cathode comprises carbon nanotubes, amorphic diamond emitters, graphite diamond, or fullerenetype carbon materials.

Zettl et al. (6,057,637), herein afterward referred to as "Zettl" teaches a method and corresponding electron source apparatus comprising a substantially flat cold cathode (emission electron) source employing nanotubes (e.g., a fullerene-type carbon material). (see e.g., Fig. 6) Zettl teaches that nanotubes are advantageous for cold cathode emission sources because they have stable and reproducible current-voltage characteristics. (see e.g., Col, 2, II. 1-5) Additionally, Zettl teaches that amorphous and graphite carbon are bi products of nanotube manufacturing processes (Col. 3, II. 55-65) and that nanotubes may behave like thin films of diamond (see e.g., Col. 4, II. 35-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a plurality of carbon nanotubes, graphite diamond, amorphic diamond, or a mixture of these materials with amorphous carbon (resulting from the nanotube manufacturing process); and one would have been motivated to do so because as Zettl teaches, carbon nanotubes are capable of providing a reliable, nonfragile, and robust cold cathode (field emission) electron sources (See e.g., Col. 1, Il. 65-68).

Claims 14 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangwalla et al. (6,426,507) in view of Goth et al. (2002/0006489). Rangwalla teaches a method of operating and corresponding electron beam source as discussed above; however Rangwalla does not explicitly teach the vacuum envelope constructed from a five-sided structure. While Rangwalla teaches a circular envelope, five-sided field

Art Unit: 2883

emission structures are commonly employed in the art. For example, Goth et al. (2002/0006489) teaches such a five sided vacuum envelope for supporting substantially flat nanotube cold cathode (field emission) electron sources.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ an envelope structure with five walls, as commonly employed in the art; and one would have been motivated to do so because this would provide a stable structure for the field emission device.

#### Conclusion

6. Choi et al. (6,504,292) teaches a nanotube based cold cathode electron emitter. Xu et al. (5,973,444) teaches a carbon fiber based field emission device. Ruy et al. (2004/0195950) teaches a field emission device wherein a carbon nanotube anode, emits electrons through a window. (See e.g., Fig. 2) Nakamoto (6,664,727 and 6,097,138) teach cold cathode field emission devices. Yaniv et al. (2004/0227447) has a common inventor as the instant application and teaches a nanotube based field emission device. Jin (2004/0036398) teaches a MEMS-based cold cathode e-beam device.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2883

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James P. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-2474. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James P. Hughes Patent Examiner Art Unit 2883

NIKITA WELLS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
04/04/05